Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/684,874	AGA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michelle Estrada	2823
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>Michelle Estrada</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Julie Seaman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>04 October 2005</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☒ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>all in general</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: Murakami et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☐ was not reached. h)☒ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant representative explained the priority of the instant case and this overcome the rejection based on Murakami et al.</u> , <u>since it was filed June 24, 2003. However, further considerantion and search would be needed for the application</u> .		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.